DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK WESTERN KENTUCKY UNIVERSITY

WKU.	Cultural Competency in Soc SWRK 501-100/700	cial Work Practice 3 credit hours	Fall 2022						
Instructor: Ly	nn M. Hazlett, MSW, CSW	Office: Acade	emic Complex 101A						
Email: lynn.hazlett@wku.edu		Phone: 270.7	745.4765						
Office Hours:	Office Hours: Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday from 9:00am – 1:00pm CST								
Zoom Link: <u>h</u>	ttps://wku.zoom.us/lynnhaz	<u>lett</u>							
Class Meetin	g Dates (SATURDAYS): 8/27,	9/10, 9/24, 10/08, 10	/29, 11/19						
Class Meetin	Class Meeting Times: 10:45am – 12:45pm CST								
Class Meetin	g Location: Academic Comple	ex (AC) Room 203							

Prerequisites

Admission into the first year traditional (foundation) MSW Program or permission of instructor.

Course Description

Expands culturally competent standards of practice used in making effective assessments and providing interventions across cultures, including rurality. Note: not appropriate for advanced standing MSW students.

Course Information

Students will be introduced to the NASW Standards for Cultural Competence in Social Work Practice along with other tools for cultural competency. This process of moving toward cultural competence requires learning new skills and applying these skills in appropriate settings. Each student will be required to initially do a cultural self-assessment and to be conscious of the dynamics inherent when cultures interact. This course introduces a variety of cultural assessments, communication, frameworks, and immersion experiences. The importance of a milieu of cultural respect with regard to individuals, agencies, and communities is also emphasized.

Required Texts

Lum, D. (2011). *Culturally competent practice: A framework for understanding* (4th ed.). California: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning

Sloan, L., Joyner, M., Stakeman, C., & Schmitz, C. (2018) *Critical multiculturism and intersectionality in a complex world* (2nd. ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.

Note: both required textbooks are available in the course Blackboard site through First Day Access

Instructor approved readings for the Book Review Assignment (choose one)

Alexander, M. (2020). *The new jim crow: Mass incarceration in the age of colorblindness* (10th anniversary ed.). New Press.

Armenta, A. (2017). *Protect, serve, and deport: The rise of policing as immigration enforcement.* University of California Press.

Bernstein, H. (2008). The invisible wall: A love story that broke barriers. Ballantine Books.

Conley, G. (2017). Boy erased: A memoir of identity, faith, and family. Riverhead Books.

- Duberman, M. (2019). *Stonewall: The definitive story of the LGBTQ rights uprising that changed America*. Plume.
- Edelman, P. (2019). *Not a crime to be poor: The criminalization of poverty in America.* The New Press.
- Gustafson, K. (2012). *Cheating welfare: Public assistance and the criminalization of poverty.* NYU Press.
- Kendi, I.X. (2019). *How to be an antiracist*. One World.
- Kivel, P. (2011). *Uprooting racism: How white people can work for racial justice*. (Revised & Expanded 3rd ed.). New Society Publishers
- Mask, D. (2020). The address book: What street addresses reveal about identity, race, wealth, and power. St. Martin's Press
- Mogul, J.L., Ritchie, A.J., & Whitlock, K. (2012). *Queer (in)justice: The criminalization of LGBT people in the United States.* Beacon Press.
- Oluo, I. (2019). So you want to talk about race. Seal Press.
- Reynolds, J., & Kendi, I.X. (2020). *Stamped: Racism, antiracism, and you*. Little Brown Books for Young Readers
- Rothstein, R. (2018). *The color of law: A forgotten history of how our government segregated America.* Liveright.
- Thomas, A. (2018). *The hate u give.* Balzer + Bray.

Required Link

National Association of Social Workers. (2007). *Indicators for the achievement of the NASW standards for cultural competence in social work practice*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.socialworkers.org/About/Ethics/Code-of-Ethics/Code-of-Ethics-English</u>

COVID-19 Statement/WKU Healthy on the Hill

All students are strongly encouraged to <u>get the COVID-19 vaccine</u>. In accordance with WKU policy, all students must call the WKU COVID-19 Assistance Line at 270-745-2019 within 4 hours of testing positive for COVID-19 or being identified as a close contact to someone who has tested positive. The COVID Assistance Line is available to answer questions regarding any COVID-19 related issue. This guidance is subject to change based on requirements set forth by federal, state, and local public health entities. Please refer to the Healthy on the Hill website for the most current information. <u>www.wku.edu/healthyonthehill</u>

MSW Mission Statement

The mission of the MSW program at WKU is to prepare students for advanced professional social work practice to meet the needs of increasingly diverse rural population in the community, in Kentucky, and in a global society.

Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) Definition of Generalist Practice and Required Core Competencies for MSW Education

The Council on Social Work Education defines Generalist Practice as the following:

Generalist practice is grounded in the liberal arts and the person-in-environment framework. To promote human and social well-being, generalist practitioners use a range of prevention and intervention methods in their practice with individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities based on scientific inquiry and best practices. The generalist practitioner identifies with the social work profession and applies ethical principles and critical thinking in practice at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels. Generalist practitioners engage diversity in their practice and advocate for human rights and social and economic justice. They recognize, support and build on the strengths and resiliency of all human beings. They engage in research-informed practice and are proactive in responding to the impact of context on professional practice. The baccalaureate [or the master's foundation year] program in social work prepares students for generalist practice. The descriptions of the nine Social Work Competencies presented in the EPAS identify the knowledge, values, skills, cognitive and affective processes, and behaviors associated with competence at the generalist level of practice. (EPAS, 2015, p. 11)

CSWE EPAS Core Competencies for MSW Education

The Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) core competencies taught in all Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) accredited MSW programs are included in the **Appendix A** of this syllabus. Core competencies addressed in this class are defined as follows:

2015 Competencies Addressed in Course	Course Assignments Assessing Behaviors	Course Learning Outcomes	Competency Dimension
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	Professionalism	3,6	Values, Cognitive & Affective Processes (C & A)
	Self-Study Paper	1, 2	Knowledge, Values
	Final Exam	1, 2,5,6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A
	Discussion Board Assignments (DB)	3,4,5, 6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A
	Cultural Sensitivity Project	1,3,6	Knowledge, Values, C & A
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	Final Exam	1,2,5,6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A
	Quizzes	1, 2	Knowledge
	Self-Study Paper	1, 2	Knowledge, Values
	In-Class and Blackboard Discussions	2, 3, 4, 5	Knowledge, Values, Skills
	Cultural Sensitivity Project	1,3,5, 6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and	Cultural Sensitivity Project	1,3, 5, 6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A
Environmental Justice	Quizzes	1, 2	Knowledge
	Discussion Board Assignments (DB)	3, 4, 5, 6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A

Competency C	Drofossionalism	2.6	Values C. 8 A
Competency 6:	Professionalism	3,6	Values, C & A
Engage with Individuals,			
Families, Groups,	In class simulations	2, 3, 4, 5	Knowledge, Values, Skills,
Organizations, and	& Discussions		
Communities			
Competency 7: Assess	In class simulations	2, 3, 4, 5	Knowledge, Values, Skills
Individuals, Families,	& Discussions		
Groups, Organizations,	Podcasts		
and Communities			
	Final Exam	1,2,5,6	Knowledge, Values, Skills,
		//~/~	Cognitive & Affective
			Processes
			FIOLESSES
	Cultural Sensitivity	1,3,5,6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C &
	Project	1,3,3,0	A
	,	2.2.4	
Competency 8: Intervene	In class simulations	2, 3, 4,	Knowledge, Values, Skills
with Individuals, Families,		5	
Groups, Organizations,	Podcasts & DB		Values, Skills, C & A
and Communities		3, 4, 5	
	Cultural Sensitivity		Knowledge, Values, C & A
	Project	1,3,6	

Learning Outcomes

Upon successful completion of this course, students should be able to:

Knowledge

- **1.** Articulate an understanding and awareness of their own cultural experiences, biases, and values
- **2.** Demonstrate an understanding of the consequences of realities of diversity within a rural community setting

Values

3. Demonstrate a professional working relationship with group members that fosters respect for other diverse ideas and contributions

Skills

- **4.** Demonstrate application of theories of diversity that explain and show characteristics of culturally competent practice
- 5. Identify and critique oppressive language, gestures and behaviors that are used negatively to refer to other diverse cultural groups

Cognitive & Affective Processes

6. Reflect and analyze cultural barriers related to competent practice (discrimination/oppression, ideological perspectives, economic, political, social, and legal policies) while examining personal values that may impact practice in rural areas.

Brief Description of Course Assignments (full description & rubric along with a separate course calendar with due dates for all assignments will be available in Blackboard)

Discussion Board Exercises (5 x 20 = 100 points)

Discussion Board exercises (completed on Blackboard) are designed to assess course preparation such as reviewing course readings, video lectures, video clips, podcasts, and other materials. Students complete 10 postings during the semester, and they are worth 10 points each.

These exercises help students to learn and apply core components of the major theories and perspectives covered in this course. The supplemental video clips relate to the major theories and are relatively short. They are intended to provide students with visual examples of major theories and concepts discussed in this course. Completion of these exercises will also help students to prepare for the final exam.

Quizzes (5 x 20 = 100 points)

There will be 5 quizzes over the course of the semester. Each quiz will include T/F and multiple choice questions. Please check Blackboard for more information.

Self-Study Paper (75 points)

The Self-Study Paper is designed to heighten awareness of your own cultural identity and other cultural groups. It addresses the questions: Who am I? Who do others think I am? How do I imagine others see me? What judgments do I imagine they make about what they see? How do I feel about others' reactions to me?

Final Exam (100 points)

Students will experience a final exam in this class. This exam will be taken via Blackboard. The exam must be taken within the timeframe listed in the course site or he/she/they will receive a zero for the exam.

Book Review (50 points)

Refer to Rubric and submit a 3-page paper responding to the list of questions provided.

Cultural Sensitivity Project (150 points)

The purpose of the Cultural Sensitivity Project is twofold: First, you will become more cognizant of the oppression of certain cultural groups by **observing and recording** oppressive language, gestures, and behaviors aimed at them. Second, you will have a cultural experience/encounter with a group or area that you are unfamiliar with (and preferably have some biases about) and then reflect on the experience. Both exercises will help you become a more culturally competent social work practitioner, as they involve directly encountering the realities of multicultural clients.

Professionalism (25 points)

The Professionalism Rubric on Blackboard outlines 10 behaviors indicative of professionalism (i.e., attendance & punctuality, communication, respect & collegiality, self-awareness, diversity awareness, initiative & responsibility, responsiveness to feedback, and compliance with professional requirements).

Evaluation & Grading

Course grading is based on the following criteria:

Assignment	Points
Discussion Boards (5)	100
Quizzes (5)	100
Self Study Paper	75
Cultural Sensitivity Project	150
Final Exam	100
Book Review	50
Professionalism	25
Total Points Possible	600

Grading Scale

90% - 100% = A 80% -89% = B 70% -79% = C 69% and below = F

Academic Support

Most of us find that we need some academic support and direction during our time at the university. WKU offers many resources that can help you be successful in this course. These are listed below.

Regular and Substantive Interaction (Online Courses Only)

The U.S. Department of Education requires that distance education courses must include regular and substantive interaction between students and faculty. For more information about Regular and Substantive Interaction at WKU, please visit the <u>Regular and Substantive Interaction in Online</u> <u>and Distance Learning webpage</u>.

In this course, regular and substantive interaction will take place in the following ways:

- Availability for synchronous sessions with faculty and students during office hours,
- Faculty participation in discussion boards,
- Weekly announcements,
- Setting assignment due dates that are spread throughout the term of the class, and
- Timely and detailed feedback on assignments provided within one week of submission.

Student Accessibility Resource Center

In compliance with university policy, students with disabilities who require academic and/or auxiliary accommodations for this course must contact the Student Accessibility Resource Center located in DSU Room 1074 in the Downing Student Union. The phone number is 270-745-5004. Please do not request accommodations directly from the professor or instructor without a letter of accommodation from the Student Accessibility Resource Center.

Writing Center Assistance

The Writing Center is located in Cherry Hall 123 on the Bowling Green campus and also offers online consultations for students who live at a distance or who cannot visit during our operating hours. WKU's writing tutors have been trained to provide helpful feedback to students at all phases of a writing project. They can help you brainstorm ideas, structure your essay, clarify your purpose, strengthen your support, and edit for clarity and correctness. But they will not revise or edit the paper for you. See instructions on the <u>Writing Center</u> website for making online or face-to-face appointments. Or call (270) 745-5719 during the Writing Center's operating hours (also listed on the website) for help scheduling an appointment.

Off Campus Library Support

The Extended Campus Library Services Office will copy citations and pull library books for students at extended campuses and send them through the mail. There is no cost to students (although you do have to pay to return the library books). WKU also has a courier service to extended campuses. For further information, go to the Extended Campus Library Support website. Turnaround time can be anywhere from a few days to two weeks, so plan ahead!

<u>Policy</u>

Students are expected to adhere to all policies contained with the <u>MSW Handbook</u> and the <u>Code</u> <u>of Student Conduct</u> at Western Kentucky University.

Professionalism

The <u>NASW Code of Ethics</u> is a guiding framework and source for identifying professional performance. Students are expected to practice in an ethical manner while in the classroom or completing assignments pertaining to this course in accordance with the MSW *Student Handbook*, WKU *Student Code of Conduct* and the NASW *Code of Ethics*. Behaviors especially under consideration are those related to professional practice, mental illness and/or substance use, illegal activity and classroom behavior. Concerns in any of these four circumstances will be documented in a Professional Concerns Form and referred for a Student Professional Concerns Review. Students are expected to understand the policy, in its entirety, found in the MSW Student Handbook.

Social Media

Privacy, confidentially, and professional boundaries must be examined as professional social workers, especially in the age of technology. Professional standards found in the NASW *Code of Ethics* must be strictly followed concerning social media. Students using social media sites are expected to use the highest privacy settings on their social media profiles, so field agency

personnel and/or clients cannot access photographs and private information. Students are not permitted to link to or view the social media profiles of clients or employees of the field placement agency. In the event that a student's social media profile or linkage is considered a poor professional practice or an ethical violation, the issue will be documented in a Professional Concerns Form and referred for a Student Professional Concerns Review.

Plagiarism and Academic Dishonesty

Plagiarism and cheating are prohibited. Academic dishonesty is prohibited by the MSW Student Handbook, the University Code of Student Conduct and is also counter to social work values and ethics included in the NASW Code of Ethics. Students are expected to be familiar with these policies and ethical guidelines and to follow them at all times. Any instances of academic dishonesty will mean a grade of "zero" for the assignment and/or course failure. Students should review the "Academic Offences" section of the WKU Student Handbook and "Acknowledging Credit" sections of the 1999 Code of Ethics, National Association of Social Workers.

APA

Students are expected to use APA style (7th ed.) for writing, citing and listing references. This is for all course work including papers, pamphlets, and online assignments. Online assignments must include in text references and full text references at the end of the assignment just as all other written documents in this course.

Attendance

Students are expected to participate in developing a positive learning environment with the desire to learn. Class participation is required and includes taking part in online class discussions through active listening and/or verbal comments. Class discussion is a turn-taking activity; participation includes not talking out-of-turn and not monopolizing the discussion. Given that we have six (6) face-to-face sessions, students may miss one class for any reason. Students who miss more than one class period will note a 10% deduction from the final grade percentage for this class. After missing a total of three classes, the student will automatically receive a grade of an F for this course.

In the event of a real emergency that precludes a student from coming to class, the professor expects to be contacted in a timely manner. It is up to the discretion of the professor as to whether the absence is excused (with documentation) and if in-class graded assignments can be made up.

Assignment Completion

Students are expected to turn all assignments in on time. Assignments, which are late, will be reduced at a rate of 10% per day. Therefore, if you would have received 50 points on an assignment, which is three days late, the score will be reduced by a total of 15 points. Discussion Board exercises will be penalized 3 points per day late. After one-week, late assignments will no longer be accepted for credit but must be turned in to complete the course.

*All assignments must be submitted in order to pass the course (no exceptions)!

Disclaimer

All class schedules and activities are subject to change in the event of extenuating circumstances. The instructor **reserves the right to make changes** to the syllabus as needed with reasonable notice and accommodations.

References

- Abrams, L., & Moio, J. (2009). Critical race theory and the cultural competence dilemma in social work education. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *45*(2) 245-261.
- Adams, M., Bell, L., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (2007). *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Appleby, G., Colon, E., & Hamilton, J. (2007). *Diversity, oppression, and social functioning: Person-in-environment assessment and intervention* (2nd ed.). Boston: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- Armor, M., Bain, B., & Rubio, R. (2004). An evaluation study of diversity training for field instructors: A collaborative approach to enhancing cultural competence. *Journal of Social Work Education, 40*(1), 27-38.
- Armor, M., Bain, B., & Rubio, R. (2006). *Educating for cultural competence: Tools for training field instructors.* Alexandria, VA: CSWE Press.

Barker, R. (2003). The social work dictionary (5th ed.). Washington, DC: NASW Press.

Border, L., & Van Note Chism, N. (1992). *Teaching for diversity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Canda, E., & Furman, L. (2010). Spiritual diversity in social work practice: The heart of helping

(2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.

- Carter-Black, J. (2007). Teaching cultural competence: An innovative strategy grounded in the Universality of storytelling as depicted in African and African American storytelling traditions. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *43*(1), 31-50.
- Colvin-Burque, A., Zugazaga, C., & Davis-Maye, D. (2007). Can cultural competence be Taught? Evaluating the impact of the SOAP model. *Journal of Social Work Education, 43*(2), 223-241.
- Davidman, L., & Davidman, P. (2001). *Teaching with a multicultural perspective: A practical guide.* New York: Longman.
- Diller, J. (2011). *Cultural diversity: A primer for the human services*. Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Fellin, P. (2000). Revisiting multiculturalism in social work. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *36*(2), 261-278.

Fredericks, A. (2007). The complete idiot's guide to teaching college. New York: Alpha.

- Gabbard, W. J., & Starks, S., Cappiccie, A., & Jaggers, J. (2011). An effective model of teaching cultural competency to MSW students in a global society. *Journal of Human and Social Sciences 6*(2), 204-208.
- Garcia, B., & Van Soest, D. (2006). Social work practice for social justice: Cultural competence in action: A guide for students. Alexandria, VA: CSWE Press.
- Geva, E., Barsky, A., & Westernoff, F. (2000). Developing a framework for interprofessional and diversity practice. In E. Geva, A. Barsky, & F. Westernoff (Eds.), *Interprofessional practice with diverse populations* (pp. 1-28). Westport, Connecticut: Auburn House.

- Gilbert, D., Grant, D., Van Soest, D., & Hammer, K. (2000). SW381 Syllabus-Cultural Diversity. In Carrie Jefferson Smith, Jeffrey Gabriel, Bill Lott, and Miyo Hirano (Eds), *Teaching racial, ethnic, and cultural diversity in social work*, (pp. 29-47). Alexandria, VA: CSWE Press.
- Gray, M., Coates, J., & Yellow Bird, M. (2008). Introduction. In M. Gray, J. Coates, & M. Bird (Eds.), *Indigenous social work around the world: Towards culturally relevant education and practice* (pp. 1-10). London: Ashgate.
- Harper-Dorton, K., & Lantz, J. (2007). *Cross cultural practice*: *Social work with diverse populations* (2nd ed). Chicago: Lyceum Press.

Hays, P. A. (2013) Connecting across cultures: The helper's toolkit. Los Angeles: Sage.

- Hendricks, C. (2003). Learning and teaching cultural competence in the practice of social work. Journal of Teaching in Social Work, 23(1/2), 73-86.
- Hogan-Garcia, M. (2003). *The four skills of cultural diversity competence: A process for understanding and practice* (2nd ed.). Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

hooks, b. (2010). *Teaching critical thinking: Practical thinking*. New York: Routledge.

- Hyde, C., & Ruth, B. (2002). Multicultural content and class participation: Do students self-Censor? *Journal of Social Work Education*, 38(2), 241-256.
- Johnson, Y., & Munch, S. (2009). Fundamental contradictions in cultural competence. *Social Work*, *54*(3), 220-231.
- Kreitner, R., & Knicki, A. (1995). Organizational behavior. Chicago: R.D. Irwin.
- Kroeger, S., & Bauer, A., (2004). *Exploring diversity: A video case approach*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.

- Kuh, G. (1995). The other curriculum: Out-of-class experiences associated with student learning and personal development. *Journal of Higher Education, 66*(2), 123-153.
- Lum, D., (2011). Culturally competent practice: A framework for understanding diverse groups and justice issues (4th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Nagda, B., Spearmon, M., Holley, L., Harding, S., Balasone, M., Moise-Swanson, D., & De
 Mello, S. (1999). Intergoup dialogues: An innovative approach to teaching about
 Diversity and social justice in social work programs. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 35(3), 433-449.
- National Association of Social Workers (2007). *Indicators for the achievement of the NASW* Standards for cultural competence in social work practice. Washington, DC: NASW Press.
- National Association of Social Workers (2008). Code of ethics of the National Association of Social Workers Washington, DC: NASW Press
- Newsome, M. (2004). Analysis of past and present movements in cultural competence theory and practice knowledge in social work education. In L. Gutierrez, M. Zuniga, & D. Lum (Eds.), *Education for Multicultural social work practice: Critical viewpoints and future Directions*. Alexandria, VA: Council on Social Work Education Press.
- Ortiz, L., & Jani, J. (2010). Critical race theory: A transformational model for teaching diversity. Journal of Social Work Education, 46(2), 175-193.
- Pederson, P. (2004). *110 experiences for multicultural learning*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Potocky-Tripodi, M. (2002). Best practices for social work with refugees & immigrants. New

York: Columbia University Press.

- Price, V. (2006). I don't understand why my African American students are not achieving: An exploration of the connection among personal power, teacher perceptions, and the academic engagement of African American students. In J. Landsman & C. Lewis (Eds), White teachers/diverse classrooms: A guide to building inclusive schools, promoting high expectations, and elimating racism, (pp. 122-136). Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Purnell, L., & Paulanka, B. (2003). Culturally competent health care: A culturally competent approach. Philadephia, PA: F.A. Davis.

Rothman, J. (1999). The self-awareness workbook for social workers. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Schrefer, S. (Ed.). (1994). Quick reference to cultural assessment. St. Louis: Mosby.

- Snyder, C., Peeler, J., & May, D. (2008). Combining human diversity and social justice education: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 44(1), 145-161.
- Solomon, R. P., & Levine-Rasky, C. (2003). *Teaching for equity and diversity: Research for practice.* Toronto: Canadian Scholars Press.
- Teasley, M. (2005). Perceived levels of cultural competence through social work education and professional development for urban school social workers. *Journal of Social Work Education, 41*(1), 85-98.
- Thomas, A., & Schwarzbaum, S. (2011). *Culture & identity: Life stories for counselors and therapists* (2nd ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Tiedt, P., & Tiedt, I. (2010). *Multicultural teaching: A handbook of activities, information, and resources* (8th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

- Timpson, W. M., Canetto, S. S., Borrayo, E., & Yang, R. (Eds.). (2003). *Teaching diversity: Challenges and complexities, identities, and integrity.* Madison, WI: Atwood.
- Timpson, W. M., Yang, R., Borrayo, E., & Canetto, S. S. (2005). *147 practical tips for teaching diversity*. Madison, WI: Atwood.
- Tran, T. (2009). Developing cross-cultural measurement. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Van Soest, D., & Garcia, B. (2008). *Diversity education for social justice: Mastering teaching skills*. Alexandria, VA: CSWE Press.
- Van Voorhis, R. (1998). Culturally relevant practice: A framework for teaching the psychodynamic dynamics of oppression. *Journal of Social Work Education, 34*(1), 121-133.
- Walker, R., & Staton, M. (2000). Multiculturalism in social work ethics. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 36(3), 449-462.
- Weaver, H. (1998). Teaching cultural competence: Application of experiential learning Techniques. *Journal of Teaching in Social Work, 17*(1/2), 65-79.

Appendix A

Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) 2015 Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS)

Core Competencies

In 2008 CSWE adopted a competency-based education framework for its EPAS. As in related health and human service professions, the policy moved from a model of curriculum design focused on content (what students should be taught) and structure (the format and organization of educational components) to one focused on student learning outcomes. A competency-based approach refers to identifying and assessing what students demonstrate in practice. In social work this approach involves assessing the students' ability to demonstrate the competencies identified in the educational policy. (EPAS, 2015, p. 4)

Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior

Social workers understand the value base of the profession and its ethical standards, as well as relevant laws and regulations that may impact practice at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels. Social workers understand frameworks in practice, research, and policy arenas. Social workers recognize personal values and the distinction between personal and professional values. They also understand how their personal experiences and affective reactions influence their professional judgment and behavior. Social workers understand the profession's history, its mission, and the roles and responsibilities of the profession. Social workers also understand the role of other professions when engaged in inter-professional teams. Social workers recognize the importance of life-long learning and are committed to continually updating their skills to ensure they are relevant and effective. Social workers also understand emerging forms of technology and the ethical use of technology in social work practice. Social workers:

- Make ethical decisions by applying the standards of the NASW Code of Ethics, relevant laws and regulations, models of ethical decision-making, ethical conduct of research, and additional codes of ethics as appropriate to context;
- Use reflection and self-regulation to manage personal values and maintain professionalism in practice situations;
- Demonstrate professional demeanor in behavior; appearance; and oral, written and electronic communication;
- Use technology ethically and appropriately to facilitate practice outcomes; and
- Use supervision and consultation to guide professional judgment and behavior.

Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice

Social workers understand how diversity and difference characterize and shape the human experience and are critical to the formation of identify. The dimensions of diversity are understood as the intersectionality of multiple factors including but not limited to age, class, color, culture, disability and ability, ethnicity, gender, gender identity and expression, immigration status, marital status, political ideology, race, religion/spirituality, sex, sexual orientation, and tribal sovereign status. Social workers understand that, as a consequence of difference, a person's life experiences may include oppression, poverty, marginalization, and alienation as well as

privilege, power, and acclaim. Social workers also understand the forms and mechanisms of oppression and discrimination and recognize the extent to which a culture's structures and values, including social, economic, political, and cultural exclusions, may oppress, marginalize, alienate, or create privilege and power. Social workers:

- Apply and communicate understanding of the importance of diversity and difference in shaping life experiences in practice at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels;
- Present themselves as learners and engage clients and constituencies as experts of their own experiences; and
- Apply self-awareness and self-regulation to manage the influence of personal biases and values in working with diverse clients and constituencies.

Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice

Social workers understand that every person regardless of position in society has fundamental human rights such as freedom, safety, privacy, an adequate standard of living, health care, and education. Social workers understand the global interconnections of oppression and human rights violations, and are knowledgeable about theories of human need and social justice and strategies to promote social and economic justice and human rights. Social workers understand strategies designed to eliminate oppressive structural barriers to ensure that social goods, rights, and responsibilities are distributed equitably and that civil, political, environmental, economic, social, and cultural human rights are protected. Social workers:

- Apply their understanding of social, economic, and environmental justice to advocate for human rights at the individual and system levels; and
- Engage in practices that advance social, economic, and environmental justice.

Competency 4: Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice

Social workers understand quantitative and qualitative research methods and their respective roles in advancing a science of social work and in evaluating their practice. Social workers know the principles of logic, scientific inquiry, and culturally informed and ethical approaches to building knowledge. Social works understand that evidence that informs practice derives from multi-disciplinary sources and multiple ways of knowing. They also understand the processes for translating research findings into effective practice. Social workers:

- Use practice experience and theory to inform scientific inquiry and research;
- Apply critical thinking to engage in analysis of quantitative and qualitative research methods and research findings; and
- Use and translate research evidence to inform and improve practice, policy and service delivery.

Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice

Social workers understand that human rights and social justice, as well as social welfare and services, are mediated by policy and its implementation at the federal, state, and local levels. Social workers understand the history and current structure of social policies and services, the role of policy in service delivery, and the role of practice in policy development. Social workers understand their role in policy development and implementation within their practice settings at

the micro, mezzo, and macro levels and they actively engage in policy practice to effect change within those settings. Social workers recognize and understand the historical, social, cultural, economic, organizational, environmental, and global influences that affect social policy. They are also knowledgeable about policy formulation, analysis, implementation, and evaluation. Social workers:

- Identify social policy at the local, state, and federal level that impacts well-being, service delivery, and access to social services;
- Assess how social welfare and economic policies impact the delivery of and access to social services; and
- Apply critical thinking to analyze, formulate, and advocate for policies that advance human rights and social, economic, and environmental justice.

Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Social workers understand that engagement is an ongoing component of the dynamic and interactive process of social work practice with, and on behalf of, diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities. Social workers value the importance of human relationships. Social workers understand theories of human behavior and the social environment, and critically evaluate and apply this knowledge to facilitate engagement with clients and constituencies, including individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities. Social workers understand strategies to engage diverse clients and constituencies to advance practice effectiveness. Social workers understand how their personal experiences and affective reactions may impact their ability to effectively engage with diverse clients and constituencies. Social workers value principles of relationship-building and inter-professional collaboration to facilitate engagement with clients, constituencies, and other professionals as appropriate. Social workers:

- Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks to engage with clients and constituencies; and
- Use empathy, reflection, and interpersonal skills to effectively engage diverse clients and constituencies.

Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Social workers understand that assessment is an ongoing component of the dynamic and interactive process of social work practice with, and on behalf of, diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities. Social workers understand theories of human behavior and the social environment, and critically evaluate and apply this knowledge in the assessment of diverse clients and constituencies, including individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities. Social workers understand methods of assessment with diverse clients and constituencies to advance practice effectiveness. Social workers recognize the implications of the larger practice context in the assessment process and value the importance of inter-professional collaboration in this process. Social workers understand how their personal experiences and affective reactions may affect their assessment and decision-making. Social workers:

• Collect and organize data, and apply critical thinking to interpret information from clients and constituencies;

- Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the analysis of assessment data from clients and constituencies;
- Develop mutually agreed-on intervention goals and objectives based on the critical assessment of strengths, needs, and challenges within clients and constituencies; and
- Select appropriate intervention strategies based on the assessment, research knowledge, and values and preferences of clients and constituencies.

Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Social workers understand that intervention is an ongoing component of the dynamic and interactive process of social work practice with, and on behalf of, diverse individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities. Social workers are knowledgeable about evidence-informed interventions to achieve the goals of clients and constituencies, including individuals, families, groups, organizations, and communities. Social workers understand theories of human behavior and the social environment, and critically evaluate and apply this knowledge to effectively intervene with clients and constituencies. Social workers understand methods of identifying, analyzing and implementing evidence-informed interventions to achieve clients and constituency goals. Social workers value the importance of inter-professional teamwork and communication in interventions, recognizing that beneficial outcomes may require interdisciplinary, inter-professional, and inter-organizational collaboration. Social workers:

- Critically choose and implement interventions to achieve practice goals and enhance capacities of clients and constituencies;
- Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in interventions with clients and constituencies;
- Use inter-professional collaboration as appropriate to achieve beneficial practice outcomes;
- Negotiate, mediate, and advocate with and on behalf of diverse clients and constituencies; and
- Facilitate effective transitions and endings that advance mutually agreed-on goals.

Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Social workers understand that evaluation is an ongoing component of the dynamic and interactive process of social work practice with, and on behalf of, diverse individual, families, groups, organizations, and communities. Social workers recognize the importance of evaluating processes and outcomes to advance practice policy, and service delivery effectiveness. Social workers understand theories of human behavior and the social environment, and critically evaluate and apply this knowledge in evaluating outcomes. Social workers understand qualitative and quantitative methods for evaluating outcomes and practice effectiveness. Social workers:

- Select and use appropriate methods for evaluation of outcomes;
- Apply knowledge of human behavior and the social environment, person-in-environment, and other multidisciplinary theoretical frameworks in the evaluation of outcomes;
- Critically analyze, monitor, and evaluate intervention and program processes and outcomes, and
- Apply evaluation findings to improve practice effectiveness at the micro, mezzo, and macro levels.

				ral Sensitivity Proj	•		
	C	1: Demonstrate Ethic		ural Sensitivity Proje	-	nco in Practico,	
		Human Rights and So			•		roups
		ations, and Commun					•
	Organiz	1	2	3	4	5	Score/
	Dimension	Beginning	Developing	Marginal	Proficient	Exemplary	Comments
Ito	ms 1 8. 7 - (3. Ad	dvance Human Rights		-		Exclipiony	connicitts
1.		Vaguely defines	Vaguely defines	Weakly defines	Thoroughly	Thoroughly	
1.	Reflection of	and describes the	and describes the	and describes the	defines and	defines and	
	a Log of	ism;	ism;	ism;	describes the	describes the	
	collected	13111,	13111,	13111,	ism;	ism;	
	"lsm's"	AND does not	AND includes a	And includes a	And includes a	13111,	Score
	13111 3	include a	discussion of less	discussion or	discussion or	And completely	earned
		discussion of any	than 3 of the	reflection of 4-5	reflection of 6-7	answers the	carried
		of the following:	following:	of the following:	of the following:	following:	<mark>X 7</mark>
		of the following.	Tonowing.	of the following.	of the following.	Tonowing.	~ /
		Were you	Were you	Were you	Were you	Were you	
		personally	personally	personally	personally	personally	Score
			affected by the	affected by the	affected by the	affected by the	earned
		affected by the incident? If so, in	incident? If so, in	incident? If so, in	incident? If so, in	incident? If so, in	canica
		,				-	
		what way?	what way?	what way?	what way?	what way?	
		Were any false	Were any false	Were any false	Were any false	Were any false	
		stereotypes	stereotypes	stereotypes	stereotypes	stereotypes	
		about certain	about certain	about certain	about certain	about certain	
		cultural groups	cultural groups	cultural groups	cultural groups	cultural groups	
		promoted by the	promoted by the	promoted by the	promoted by the	promoted by the	
		incident?	incident?	incident?	incident?	incident?	
		If other people	If other people	If other people	If other people	If other people	
		witnessed the	witnessed the	witnessed the	witnessed the	witnessed the	
		incident, what	incident, what	incident, what	incident, what	incident, what	
		were their	were their	were their	were their	were their	
		reactions?	reactions?	reactions?	reactions?	reactions?	
		Did you do	Did you do	Did you do	Did you do	Did you do	
		anything to	anything to	anything to	anything to	anything to	
		confront the	confront the	confront the	confront the	confront the	
		oppressive	oppressive	oppressive	oppressive	oppressive	
		situation (such as	situation (such as	situation (such as	situation (such as	situation (such as	
		telling someone	telling someone	telling someone	telling someone	telling someone	
		that a racist	that a racist	that a racist	that a racist	that a racist	
		remark was	remark was	remark was	remark was	remark was	
		inappropriate)? If	inappropriate)? If	inappropriate)? If	inappropriate)? If	inappropriate)? If	
		so, how did they	so, how did they	so, how did they	so, how did they	so, how did they	
		react to you?	react to you?	react to you?	react to you?	react to you?	
		What did the	What did the	What did the	What did the	What did the	
		person or group	person or group	person or group	person or group	person or group	
		Person of group	Person of group			Person of group	

Appendix B Rubric for Cultural Sensitivity Project Paper

			and the set	and the set	and the set	and the set	
		making the	making the	making the	making the	making the	
		offensive remark	offensive remark	offensive remark	offensive remark	offensive remark	
		or gesture seem	or gesture seem	or gesture seem	or gesture seem	or gesture seem	
		to hope to gain	to hope to gain	to hope to gain	to hope to gain	to hope to gain	
		from being racist	from being racist	from being racist	from being racist	from being racist	
		or sexist?	or sexist?	or sexist?	or sexist?	or sexist?	
		How does the	How does the	How does the	How does the	How does the	
		incident you	incident you	incident you	incident you	incident you	
		observed relate	observed relate	observed relate	observed relate	observed relate	
		to prior incidents	to prior incidents	to prior incidents	to prior incidents	to prior incidents	
		you have	you have	you have	you have	you have	
		witnessed	witnessed	witnessed	witnessed	witnessed	
		concerning this	concerning this	concerning this	concerning this	concerning this	
		particular	particular	particular	particular	particular	
		cultural group?	cultural group?	cultural group?	cultural group?	cultural group?	
		How will the	How will the	How will the	How will the	How will the	
		incident impact	incident impact	incident impact	incident impact	incident impact	
		your future	your future	your future	your future	your future	
		interactions with	interactions with	interactions with	interactions with	interactions with	
		the cultural	the cultural	the cultural	the cultural	the cultural	
		group being	group being	group being	group being	group being	
		marginalized?	marginalized?	marginalized?	marginalized?	marginalized?	
2.	Types and	Includes 0-4	Includes 5-9	Includes 10-14	Includes 15-19	Includes at least	
2.	Categories of	incidents of isms	incidents of isms	incidents of isms	incidents of isms	20 incidents of	Score
	Isms	in 1 different	in 2 different	in 3 different	in 4 different	isms in at least 5	earned
	151115	categories and	categories and	categories and	categories and	different	curricu
		across 1 different	across 2 different	across 3 different	across 4 different	categories and	<mark>X 3</mark>
		mediums	mediums	mediums	mediums	across 5 different	<mark>^ 3</mark>
		meananis	mediams	mediams	mediams	mediums	
						mediams	Score
						Categories of	earned
						isms include:	earrieu
						racism, sexism,	
						ableism, ageism,	
						heterosexism,	
						classism,	
						religious	
14	• 2 CG. Frances	with Individuals. For	milios Crowns Ores	Vizations and Commu	unition	prejudice, etc.	<u> </u>
			nilies, Groups, Organ			Cotanarous	
3.	Identification	Did not seek	Get approval	Get approval	Get approval	Get approval	
	and	approval prior to	prior to attending	prior to attending	prior to attending	prior to attending	
	attendance	attending event;	event;	event;	event;	event;	
	ofa						Score
	culturally	AND only	BUT only	AND engaged	AND engaged	AND engaged	earned
	different	observed	observed	with 1 person	with 2-3 people	with more than 3	
	experience					people	<mark>X 5</mark>
	for						
	immersion						
							Score
							earned

Item 4 - C2: Engag	e Diversity and Differe	ence in Practice				
4. Description of the experience	Thoroughly discusses 1 of the following: Context/Setting	Thoroughly discusses 2 of the following: Context/Setting	Thoroughly discusses 3 of the following: Context/Setting	Thoroughly discusses ALL 4 of the following: Context/Setting	Thoroughly discusses ALL 4 of the following: Context/Setting	Score earned
	(e.g., physical space, etc.)	<mark>X 5</mark>				
	Demographics (e.g., number of people, race, ethnicity, etc.)	Score earned				
	Emotional climate (e.g., warm, inviting, etc.)					
	Culture (e.g., group dynamics, traditions, etc.)	Culture (e.g., group dynamics, traditions, etc.) AND demonstrates a deep analysis of the environment				
Items 5 - C7: Asses	s with Individuals, Fa	milies, Groups, Orgar	nizations and Commu	unities		
5. Assessment of Cultural Experience	Thoroughly addresses 1 of the following questions:	Thoroughly addresses 2-3 of the following questions:	Thoroughly addresses 4-5 of the following questions:	Thoroughly addresses 6 of the following questions:	Thoroughly addresses the following 7 questions:	 Score
	How was this experience different for	earned				
	 you? Did it force you to think outside of your comfort zone? 	 you? Did it force you to think outside of your comfort zone? 	 you? Did it force you to think outside of your comfort zone? 	 you? Did it force you to think outside of your comfort zone? 	 you? Did it force you to think outside of your comfort zone? 	Score earned
	 What did you learn that you did not know about the cultural 	 What did you learn that you did not know about the cultural 	 What did you learn that you did not know about the cultural 	 What did you learn that you did not know about the cultural 	 What did you learn that you did not know about the cultural 	
	group that you investigated? • What stereotypes					

n							
i.		about the	about the	about the	about the	about the	
		group were	group were	group were	group were	group were	
		confirmed or	confirmed or	confirmed or	confirmed or	confirmed or	
		rejected by	rejected by	rejected by	rejected by	rejected by	
		your	your	your	your	your	
		experience?	experience?	experience?	experience?	experience?	
		 How will you 	 How will you 	 How will you 	How will you	 How will you 	
		relate to this	relate to this	relate to this	relate to this	relate to this	
		cultural	cultural	cultural	cultural	cultural	
		group	group	group	group	group	
		differently	differently	differently	differently	differently	
		based on	based on	based on	based on	based on	
		your	your	your	your	your	
		attendance	attendance	attendance	attendance	attendance	
		at the event	at the event	at the event	at the event	at the event	
		or function?	or function?	or function?	or function?	or function?	
		• How will this	• How will this	• How will this	• How will this	• How will this	
		be different	be different	be different	be different	be different	
		in both your	in both your	in both your	in both your	in both your	
		personal and	personal and	personal and	personal and	personal and	
		professional	professional	professional	professional	professional	
		life?	life?	life?	life?	life?	
		• What is your	• What is your	• What is your	• What is your	• What is your	
		perception	perception	perception	perception	perception	
		of the overall	of the overall	of the overall	of the overall	of the overall	
		learning	learning	learning	learning	learning	
		from this	from this	from this	from this	from this	
		exercise?	exercise?	exercise?	exercise?	exercise?	
Iten	ns 6 & 7 - C1: De	emonstrate Ethical ar	nd Professional Beha	vior	·		-
6.	Oral	Does not clearly	Clearly	Clearly	Clearly	Clearly	
	Presentation	articulate EITHER	articulates	articulates	articulates BOTH	articulates BOTH	
	to	the content (e.g.,	EITHER the	EITHER the	the content (e.g.,	the content (e.g.,	Score
	to classmates	the content (e.g., setting and	EITHER the content (e.g.,	EITHER the content (e.g.,	the content (e.g., setting and	the content (e.g., setting and	Score earned
		setting and	content (e.g.,	content (e.g.,	setting and	setting and	
		setting and meaning) OR the	content (e.g., setting and	content (e.g., setting and	setting and meaning) AND	setting and meaning) AND	
		setting and meaning) OR the	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the	setting and meaning) AND	setting and meaning) AND	
		setting and meaning) OR the process;	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the	setting and meaning) AND the process;	setting and meaning) AND the process;	earned
		setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process;	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process;	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance	earned
		setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of	earned
		setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance is not reflective	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is reflective of	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal	earned <mark>X 3</mark>
		setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal	earned X 3 Score
7.	classmates Writing and	setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has more	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has 7-10	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture Paper has 4-6	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has 2-3	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture Paper has 0-1	earned X 3 Score earned
7.	classmates	setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal culture	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture	earned X 3 Score
7.	classmates Writing and	setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has more than 10 spelling and/or grammar	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has 7-10 spelling and/or	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture Paper has 4-6 spelling and/or	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has 2-3 spelling and/or	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture Paper has 0-1 spelling and/or	earned X 3 Score earned Score
7.	classmates Writing and	setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has more than 10 spelling and/or grammar	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has 7-10 spelling and/or grammar errors;	content (e.g., setting and meaning) OR the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture Paper has 4-6 spelling and/or grammar errors;	setting and meaning) AND the process; BUT appearance is not reflective of own personal culture Paper has 2-3 spelling and/or grammar errors;	setting and meaning) AND the process; AND appearance is reflective of own personal culture Paper has 0-1 spelling and/or grammar errors;	earned X 3 Score earned Score

AND is conceptually and/or logically unsound.	AND lacks flow and is not logically presented.	AND lacks flow but is conceptually sound.	AND paper is presented logically so that ideas flow nicely.	AND paper is logically and conceptually sound.	Score earned
--	---	--	--	---	-----------------

Total Score: _____/150