

SWRK 510: HUMAN BEHAVIOR IN THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT 3 credit hours Semester: Fall 2024							
Instructor: Dr. Dana Sullivan, Professor	Email: dana.sullivan@wku.edu	Office: AC 112B					
Phone: 270-745-5313	Zoom Link: https://wku.zoom.us/j/8078250277	Office Hours: available by appointment; please email, call or, send a message via our Blackboard site to schedule a time to meet with me					
Class Meeting Dates: August 19, 2024 - December 6, 2024 (Finals Week: Dec 2-5)	Class Meeting Times: Asynchronous This is an online, asynchronous course.	Location: Online/WEB					

# Prerequisite(s)/Co-requisite(s):

# **Course Description:**

Examines behavior within the context of families, groups, organizations, communities, and cultures. Topics include developmental stages of the individual within multiple systems with a particular focus on issues of anti-racism, diversity, equity, and inclusion.

### **Course Information:**

The person-in-environment perspective is central to social work assessment. In this course, students are introduced to multiple factors that can impact how environment impacts how individuals experience the world. Culture and diversity in terms of age, gender, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, environment, and more is a major component of this course. Students are introduced to major theories or approaches associated with biological, sociological, spiritual, cultural, and psychological factors that impact human behavior across the life course. The topics in this course follow a life course perspective, meaning students learn about human behavior from pregnancy and conception to late life issues. This course assumes that students have a foundation in traditional theories and concepts of psychology and sociology, as well as an understanding of other liberal arts disciplines, including biology, political science, and economics. From this foundation, human behavior will be examined within the context of multiple social systems. Although this course is taught entirely on-line, the instructor uses several teaching strategies to encourage peer-to-peer and instructor interaction.

#### Required Text(s):

Rogers, A. (2022). Human behavior in the social environment (6th Ed.). New York: Routledge.

# **Recommended Text(s):**

APA. (2019). *Publication manual of American Psychological Association*. 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. Washington, D.C.: Author.

### Other Readings as Assigned

The instructor will also provide other articles and readings throughout the semester on Blackboard. These will provide more information and different perspectives on the topics we are covering in this course. These resources will be available online at no additional cost to you.

### **Learning Outcomes:**

The CSWE Competencies (2022) (this document is linked below in the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) Definition of Generalist Practice and Required Core Competencies for MSW Education section: emphasize that in every course, social work students need to gain knowledge about social work practice, learn the values of the field, and learn skills to use in practice. Additionally, cognitive and affective processes are measured and "include the social worker's critical thinking, affective reactions, and exercise of judgment in regard to unique practice situations" (CSWE, 2022, p. 7).

Upon successful completion of this course, students should be able to:

### Knowledge

- 1. Identify and explain the basic tenets of the life course perspective.
- 2. Summarize major theories of human behavior in the social environment.
- 3. Articulate how anti-racism, diversity, equity, and inclusion diversity impacts human behavior.

### Values

4. Identify and articulate the connection between HBSE and the NASW Code of Ethics.

### Skills

5. Apply select theories to understand human behavior across the lifespan.

#### **Cognitive & Affective Processes**

6. Synthesize knowledge of HBSE by identifying pertinent theories pertaining to specific diverse client groups across the lifespan.

#### **MSW Mission Statement:**

The mission of the MSW program at WKU is to prepare students for advanced professional social work practice to meet the needs of increasingly diverse rural population in the community, in Kentucky, and in a global society.

# <u>Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) Definition of Generalist Practice and Required Core</u> Competencies for MSW Education:

<u>The Council on Social Work Education</u> (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) and Commission on Educational Policy (COEP) developed the Educational Policy and Accreditation

<u>Standards for Baccalaureate and Master's Social Work Programs</u>. These standards form the basis for the WKU MSW Generalist Year and Advanced Generalist Curriculum. Here at WKU, our specialty is Advanced Generalist Practice in Rural Settings. Please review WKU MSW <u>Generalist Competencies</u> and <u>Advanced Generalist Competencies</u> to develop an understanding of the content that all WKU MSW students must master prior to graduation.

## **CSWE EPAS Core Competencies for MSW Education:**

The <u>Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS)</u> core competencies are taught in all Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) accredited MSW programs. Core competencies addressed in this class are defined and included in **Appendix A.** 

#### **Course Expectations:**

Please note the following general guidelines concerning shared responsibilities of the professor and students in this course:

- 1. Students are expected to actively participate in developing a positive learning environment with the desire to learn. Engagement is important to the overall spontaneous learning. Class participation is required. You should be actively engaged in your online learning experience. This means you should check into the Bb site at least 3-4 times per week. Please be respectful in your interactions with your classmates in this online learning environment. As a social worker in the field, you must remain engaged with your clients and your work. Therefore, we ask that you approach the class as you would your work in the field and think of your classmates and professor as professional colleagues.
- Students are expected to adhere to all policies contained within the MSW Handbook, the Student Code of Conduct at WKU, and be in compliance with the NASW Code of Ethics.
   Students are expected act in a professional manner within the classroom and field environment with respect to their peers and faculty. This is addressed in the MSW Handbook and disruptive behaviors may result in a Professional Concerns Form.
- 3. Plagiarism, cheating, and any other forms of academic dishonesty are prohibited. Any instances of such will result in a grade of "zero" for the assignment and/or course failure and/or expulsion from the program. Please refer to #2 above for the MSW Handbook, Student Code of Conduct, and the NASW Code of Ethics.
- 4. Students are expected to use APA (current edition) for writing, citing, and listing references. This is for all coursework including papers, pamphlets, and online assignments. Online assignments must include in-text references and full text references at the end of the assignment just as all other written documents in this course.
- 5. All assignments are expected to be turned in on time, late assignments will incur at 10% deduction per day late. After one-week, late assignments will no longer be accepted for credit. Please note, in the field of social work, you will have deadline for case documentation, court reports, timesheets, etc. Please use this time as a student to develop your time management and organizational skills as they will translate to professional behavior on the job in the field.

- 6. The <u>NASW Code of Ethics</u> is a guiding framework and source for identifying professional performance. Students are expected to practice in an ethical manner while in the classroom or completing assignments pertaining to this course. Behaviors especially under consideration are those related to professional practice, mental illness, substance use, illegal activity, and/or classroom behavior. Concerns in any of these four circumstances will be documented in a Professional Concerns Form in accordance with the <u>MSW Handbook</u> policies.
- 7. Privacy, confidentiality, and professional boundaries must be examined as professional social workers, especially in the age of technology. Students using social media sites are expected to use the highest privacy settings on their profiles. Students are not permitted to link to or view the social media profiles of clients or employees of the field placement agency. Any issue counter to this will be documented in a Professional Concerns Form in accordance with the MSW Handbook policies.
- 8. Just as you will refer your future clients to needed resources, there are services available to you as a student to assist you with your coursework. Students are encouraged to utilize academic support services such as <a href="https://example.com/The WKU Libraries">The Writing Center</a> and <a href="https://example.com/The WKU Libraries">The WKU Libraries</a> to help you be successful in this course.
- In compliance with university policy, students with disabilities who require academic and/or auxiliary accommodations for this course must contact the <u>Student Accessibility</u> <u>Resource Center</u>. Please do not request accommodations directly from the professor or instructor without a letter of accommodation from the <u>Student Accessibility Resource</u> <u>Center</u>.
- 10. In compliance with the following WKU's Sex and Gender-Based Discrimination, Harassment, and Retaliation and Discrimination and Harassment Policy; discrimination, harassment and/or sexual misconduct based on sex/gender are prohibited. Please go to <a href="https://www.wku's.nitle.ix.website">WKU's Title IX website</a> for full information.
- 11. All class schedules and activities are subject to change in the event of extenuating circumstances. The instructor **reserves the right to make changes** to the syllabus as needed with reasonable notice and accommodations.
- 12. **AI Statement:** Artificial intelligence (AI) tools such as (*Chat GPT*) may be used for brainstorming or gathering ideas with appropriate citation, but not for assignments utilizing your critical thought. If you are unsure if you are using AI tools appropriately in this course, you are encouraged you to visit with your instructor. Examples of how to cite AI tools are available at <a href="https://libguides.wku.edu/stylewrite/ai">https://libguides.wku.edu/stylewrite/ai</a>

Brief Description of Course Assignments (full description & rubric for all assignments will be available in Blackboard). The assignment used for the MSW Assessment Plan is the Environmental Justice Paper. The rubric for this assignment used for assessment purposes is included as Appendix B.

Discussion Board and Other Weekly Assignments (195 points)

Discussion Board exercises (completed on Blackboard) are designed to assess course preparation such as reviewing course readings, video lectures, video clips, and other materials. Students complete 9 postings or assignments during the semester and they are worth 20 points each (1 more assignment will be worth 15 points). These exercises help students to learn and apply core components of the major theories covered in this course. The supplemental video clips relate to the major theories and are relatively short. They are intended to provide students with visual examples of major theories and concepts discussed in this course. Completion of these exercises will also help students to prepare for the final exam. A high level of quality and critical synthesis of information is expected on these exercises. See DB rubric on Blackboard for grading rationale.

# Midterm Exam (100 points)

Students will take a midterm exam that will be comprehensive, open-book/notes, and an all essay format. The exam will cover all material covered to that point in readings, video lectures, links, and other modalities. It will include material on theories, perspectives, concepts, models, and other aspects of HBSE. Some questions will ask students to apply HBSE theories to hypothetical practice situations, to bolster the practice/theory linkage. A review session will be held the week before the exam is deployed. More details about the exam will be provided closer to the examination period.

### **Environmental Justice Paper (100 points)**

This paper focuses on how a theory of your choice informs environmental justice issues. Thus, your first task is to pick an *environmental problem* that contributes to a social/health problem or problems affecting individuals, families, groups, and communities. Examples of topics include, but are not limited to, air and water pollution, climate change/global warming, food insecurity, environmental disasters, environmental racism, acid deposition, plant/animal extinction, and deforestation. This paper must be 8-10 pages of text and contain a minimum of 5 peer-reviewed sources, in addition to your textbook.

Papers **MUST** include the following information:

- 1). How you selected the environmental problem (5 points).
- 2). Who defines this issue as a problem/who does it affect? Are communities of color disproportionately affected? If so, please explain (10 points).
- 3). Provide historical context for this issue (10 points).
- 4). Based on your review of the issue and the available information about it, what are the most prevalent theoretical perspectives used to frame this social problem? What are the strengths and limitations of each theoretical perspective? Which do you believe provides the best explanation? Why? (15 points)
- 5). Based on your review of the literature, what are the primary causes of this issue? (10 points)
- 6). What previous attempts have been made to solve this issue?

What parts were successful? Why? (5 points) What parts were ineffective? Why? (5 points)

- 7). What can social workers do to make communities more sensitive to this issue? Be sure to include a discussion about anti-racist actions and social work practice. (20 points)
- 8). Informed by theory and the literature, what interventions do you suggest to ameliorate the issue? (15 points)
- 9). Overall writing style, APA formatting, introduction, and conclusion. (5 points)
- 10). Include a link to a video to show one or more of the discussion points listed above (bonus: 5 points).

# Final Exam (100 points)

Students will take a final exam that will be open-book/notes, and in an all essay format. The exam will cover all material *since* the midterm in terms of readings, video lectures, and links. It will include material on theories, perspectives, concepts, models, and other aspects of HBSE. Some questions will ask students to apply HBSE theories to hypothetical practice situations, to bolster the practice/theory linkage. A review session will be held the week before the exam is deployed. More details about the exam will be provided closer to the examination period.

# Professionalism (5 points)

Professional social workers must display professional behavior at all times to their clients, colleagues, and community partners. Because of this, the faculty want all MSW students to be mindful of professional behavior in each class. The classroom is where you will learn and begin to model professional behavior. The professor will rate you in the 5 areas from the rubric. You will review those scores, provide your assessment in each area, and develop a plan of action in at least one area in which you want to improve for future classes and social work practice. This is designed to help you assess areas of strength and areas in which you want to continue to learn and grow.

Your professionalism will be rated by the faculty covering areas related to Engagement, Collegiality, Growth & Development, Communication, and Adherence to SWRK Values. You will review the rankings, provide your own rankings, and will turn in a response and plan for growth on at least one of the areas pertaining to the class. The final points will be calculated determining the average of the scores in each area (a maximum of 5 points-5 areas X 5 points each/5).

### **Evaluation & Grading**

The following table shows the total points available for each assignment and the total possible points for the class. Course grading is based on the following criteria. The earned points will be summed for the final score in the class.

Total Points Possible	500	
Professionalism	5	
Final Exam	100	
Environmental Justice Paper	100	
Midterm Exam	100	
Assignment Discussion Boards/Weekly Assignments (the first assignment X 15 points, the remaining 9 assignments X 20 points each)	195	

### **Grading Scale:**

450-500 points, 90-100% = A 400-449 points, 80-89% = B 350-399 points, 70-79% = C 300-349 points, 60-69% = D, 0-299 points, 0-59% = F

# **Regular and Substantive Interaction (Online Courses Only)**

The U.S. Department of Education requires that distance education courses must include regular and substantive interaction between students and faculty. For more information about Regular and Substantive Interaction at WKU, please visit the <u>Regular and Substantive Interaction in</u> Online and Distance Learning webpage.

In this course, regular and substantive interaction will take place in the following ways:

- Availability for synchronous sessions with faculty and students during office hours,
- Faculty participation in discussion boards,
- · Weekly announcements,
- Setting assignment due dates that are spread throughout the term of the class, and
- Timely and detailed feedback on assignments provided within one week of submission.

**Inclusion Statement.** Western Kentucky University (WKU) is committed to ensuring all members of our campus community have access to equitable and inclusive learning, working, and living environments. At the heart of our mission, we seek to provide holistic education and employment experiences that prepare students, faculty, and staff to become effective scholars, contributors, and leaders in our diverse and evolving communities. Consistent with our campus purpose statement and creed, this classroom will be a respectful space, welcoming all sexes, races, ages, national origins, ethnicities, gender identities/labels/expressions, intellectual and physical abilities, sexual orientations, faith/non-faith perspectives, income levels and socioeconomic classes, political ideologies, educational backgrounds, primary languages, family statuses, military experiences, cognitive styles, and communication styles. If at any time during

this course you are excluded or feel a sense of alienation from the course content, please feel free to contact me privately without fear of reprisal.

Land Acknowledgement Statement: The history of our community and land gives us the opportunity to recognize, respect, and appreciate our place within that history. Western Kentucky University (WKU) honors and acknowledges the Indigenous peoples' land on which this University was built. All land in the state of Kentucky was once Indigenous territory, which is why it is our duty to acknowledge that WKU exists on Native land. This particular region of Kentucky was home to both the Shawnee (Shawandasse Tula) and Cherokee East (CWJQ9JA) Tsalaguwetiyi) tribes.

We also honor and acknowledge the former residents of Jonesville. According to the Jonesville History Project, "Jonesville was a predominantly African American community in Bowling Green, Kentucky, that was demolished in the 1960s to make way for the expansion of the WKU campus. This incident echoed a pattern across the country where the power of eminent domain was utilized to seize property from minority communities for large public works projects under the guise of urban revitalization."

Appendix A

This table is included to show the alignment of the CSWE 2022 Competencies with the competency dimension, course assignments and learning outcomes.

2022 Competencies	Course Assignments	Course Learning	Competency Dimension
Addressed in Course	Assessing Behaviors	Outcomes	Composition y Dimension
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	Professionalism  Discussion Boards	5, 6	Skills, C & A Processes Values
	Midterm Exam	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A Processes
	Final Exam	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6	Knowledge, Values, Skills, C & A Processes
Competency 2: Advance Human Rights and Social, Racial, Economic, and Environmental Justice	Environmental Justice Paper	2, 4, 5, 6	Knowledge, Values, C & A Processes
Competency 3: Engage in Anti-Racism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion	Discussion Boards	3	Knowledge
(ADEI) in Practice	Midterm Exam	3	Knowledge
	Final Exam	3	Knowledge
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations,	Discussion Boards	5	Skills
and Communities	Discussion Boards	2, 3, 4	Knowledge and Values
	Discussion Boards	1, 2, 5	Knowledge and Skills
	Discussion Boards	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 3	Knowledge and Skills Knowledge, Values,

	Skills, C & A Processes

### **Appendix B**

# Rubric for Environmental Justice Paper Assignment

Please note: This assignment will be used for the MSW Program Assessment. The professor will send individual scores for Item 3

C3: Engage Anti-Racism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (ADEI) in Practice to the MSW
 Program Assessment Coordinator. All data will be reported in aggregate when the MSW
 Program Assessment Report is compiled. No names are included in the final report. This report examines data points from other classes and instruments to complete the annual report.

# **SWRK 510: Environmental Justice Paper**

**C1**: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior; **C2**: Advance Human Rights and Social, Racial, Economic, and Environmental Justice;

C3: Engage Anti-Racism, Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (ADEI) in Practice; C7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities; C8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities; C9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities

Dimension	Exemplary 5	Proficient 4	Marginal 3	Developin g 2	Beginning 1	Score
1. Introduction & Conclusion	includes ALL of	Includes 3 of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplar y" category	Includes 2 of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplar y" category	Includes 1 of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplar y" category	Includes EITHER an introducti on OR conclusion ;  BUT it does not address any of the bulleted items listed under the "Exemplar y" category	  Score

	readers to remember)					
2. Selection of Topic	Paper includes ALL of the	Paper includes	Paper includes 2	Paper includes 2	Paper ONLY	
	following:  • Identificatio	ALL of the items under the	of the items under the	of the items under the	includes 1 of the items	
	n of topic  Rationale for selecting topic  Topic is	"Exemplar y" category;	"Exemplar y" category	"Exemplar y" category;	under the "Exemplar y" category	Score
	appropriate for this assignment	least 1 item is under- developed		least 1 of those items is under- developed		Score
Comments:	I					
Note to Instruct Items 3 – C3: Er	or: ngage Anti-Racism,	Diversity, Eq	uity, and Incl	usion (ADEI)	in Practice	
3. Define the	Student	Student	Student	Student	Student	
Problem	includes ALL of the following:	includes ALL of the	includes 3 of the	includes 2 of the	ONLY includes 1 of the	-
	Definition of	items under the	items under the	items under the	items	Score
	the problem	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	under the	X2

	<ul> <li>Who defines the problem</li> <li>How prevalent is the problem</li> <li>Who is affected by the problem</li> <li>Includes racial/ethnic characteristics of those impacted</li> </ul>	y" category;  BUT at least 1 item is under- developed	y" category	y" category	"Exemplar y" category	 Score
Comments:	impacted					
4. Historical	Paper includes					
Context	ALL of the					
	following:					_
						Score
	How long					
	has this					X2
	issue					
	impacted					
	the area					_
	• What					
	problems					Score
	have been					
Comments:	identified					
Comments.						
Note to Instruct	or:					
	sess Individuals, Fa	milies, Group	os, Organizati	ions, and Con	nmunities	
5. Theoretica	Paper includes	Paper	Paper	Paper	Paper	
1	ALL of the	includes	includes 4	includes 3	includes	
Perspectiv	following:	ALL of the	of the	of the	LESS THAN	
es		items	items	items	3 of the	Score
	<ul> <li>Identifies at</li> </ul>	under the	under the	under the	items	
	least 2	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	under the	X3
	theories				"Exemplar	

	Provides a	y"	y"	y"	y"	
	rationale for	category;	category	category;	category;	
	selecting			,	, ,	_
	theories	BUT at		OR at least	OR at least	Score
	Theories are	least 1		2 items	3 items	
	appropriate	item is		are under-	are under-	
	with respect	under-		developed	developed	
	to the	developed				
	identified					
	problem					
	Strengths of					
	each					
	theoretical					
	perspective					
	are outlined					
	<ul> <li>Weaknesses</li> </ul>					
	of each					
	theoretical					
	perspective					
	are outlined					
Comments:						
C Course of	Chindren	Charlenak	Cturdonst	Charlena	Charlent	
6. Causes of the	Student identifies	Student includes	Student includes 2	Student includes 2	Student ONLY	
Problem	causes of the	ALL of the	of the	of the	includes 1	
Problem		items	items	items	of the	
	problem;	under the	under the	under the	items	
	What has	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	under the	_
	caused this	y"	y"	y"	"Exemplar	Score
	problem	category;	category;	category;	y"	30018
	What are	33.500111	33.300, 11	33.500111	category;	X2
	the	BUT at		BUT at	.0: 1/	
	consequenc	least 1		least 1 of		
	es	item is		the		
	<ul> <li>Provides</li> </ul>	under-		included		_
	support	developed		items is		Score
				under-		
	from the					l l
	from the literature			developed		
				developed		

	Links causes					
	back to at					
	least one of					
	the chosen					
	theoretical					
	perspectives					
Comments:		l	1	l	l	l
Note to Instruct	tor:					
Item 7 – C9: Ev	aluate Practice witl	h Individuals,	Families, Gro	oups, Organiz	ations, and	
Communities						
7. Solutions	Includes ALL of	Includes	Includes 3	Includes 2	Includes 1	
	the following:	ALL of the	of the	of the	of the	
		items	items	items	items	
	<ul> <li>Identifies at</li> </ul>	under the	under the	under the	under the	
	least 2	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	
	solutions	у"	у"	у"	у"	
	attempted	category;	category;	category;	category;	_
	to address					
	the	BUT at		OR more	OR ALL	Score
	identified	least 1		than 1	items are	
	problem	item is		item is	under-	X2
	<ul> <li>Identifies</li> </ul>	under-		under-	developed	
	successes	developed		developed		
	- Iala - 1101					_
	<ul> <li>Identifies</li> </ul>					
	failures/					
						Score
	failures/ ineffectiven ess					Score
	failures/ ineffectiven ess • Provides					Score
	failures/ ineffectiven ess • Provides evidence					Score
	failures/ ineffectiven ess • Provides evidence from the					Score
	failures/ ineffectiven ess • Provides evidence					Score
Comments:	failures/ ineffectiven ess • Provides evidence from the					Score

Note to Instructor:

Item 8 – C2: Advance Human Rights and Social, Racial, Economic, and Environmental Justice

	т	1	T		1	T
8. Action	Paper	Addresses	Addresses	Addresses	Addresses	
Plan	addresses ALL	ALL of the	2 of the	2 of the	1 of the	
	of the	items	items	items	items	
	following:	under the	under the	under the	under the	
		"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	
	<ul> <li>Identifies</li> </ul>	y"	y"	y"	y"	
	reasonable	category;	category;	category;	category;	
	action steps					
	social	BUT at		AND at		_
	workers can	least 1		least 1 of		Score
	take to raise	item is		the		
	awareness	under-		included		<b>X3</b>
	about this	developed		items is		
	issue			under-		
	<ul> <li>Identifies</li> </ul>			developed		
	social					_
	work's					Score
	position on					30010
	this issue					
	• Discusses					
	how actions					
	are anti-					
	racist					
Comments	Tacist					
Comments:						
Nata ta la atau at						
Note to Instruct		duala Familia				
	ervene with Indivi	-				ities
9. Interventio	Paper	Addresses	Addresses	Addresses	Addresses	
ns	addresses ALL	ALL of the	3-4 of the	2 of the	ONLY 1 of	
	of the	items	items	items	the items	_
	following:	under the	under the	under the	under the	
		"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	"Exemplar	Score
	Micro-level	у"	y"	у"	у"	
	intervention	category;	category;	category;	category;	X2
	S					
	<ul> <li>Mezzo-level</li> </ul>	BUT at		OR more	OR ALL of	
	intervention	least 1		than 1	the items	_
	S	item is		item is	are under-	
		under-		under-	developed	Score
		developed		developed		
i .	1	I	1	1	I	1

Comments:	<ul> <li>Macro-level intervention s</li> <li>Intervention s are tied back to at least one of the chosen theoretical perspectives</li> <li>Intervention s are supported by peerreviewed literature</li> </ul>					
10. Video Link	Includes a link to a video				Includes a link to a	
	showing one or				video BUT	
	more of the				it is not	_
	discussion				relevant or	Score
	points				no video	
						Score
Comments:				<u> </u>		
Note to Instructo	or:					
	emonstrate Ethical				1	
11. Writing	Paper is written	Paper is	Paper is	Paper is	Paper	
	in APA 7 <sup>th</sup> ed. format (title,	written in APA 7 <sup>th</sup> ed.	written in APA 7 <sup>th</sup> ed.	written in APA 7 <sup>th</sup> ed.	does not	
	I CALLET IT ITITIO	. APA /" ea.	. APA /" ea.	i APA /"' ed.	follow APA	_
	•				7 <sup>th</sup> od	
	headers, headings,	format (title,	format (title,	format (title,	7 <sup>th</sup> ed. formatting	Score

		headings,	headings,	headings,	headers,
	Paper has no	references	references	references	headings,
	grammatical or	)	)	)	references
	spelling errors				);
		Paper has	Paper has	Paper has	
	Paper includes	1-3	4-6	7-9	OR paper
	at least 5 peer-	grammatic	grammatic	grammatic	has more
	reviewed	al or	al or	al or	than 10
	sources	spelling	spelling	spelling	grammatic
		errors	errors	errors	al or
	Paper				spelling
	references the	Paper	Paper	Paper	errors
	textbook	includes 5	includes 4	includes 3	
		peer-	peer	peer-	OR
	Paper is 8-10	reviewed	reviewed	reviewed	includes
	pages in length	sources	sources	sources	less than 3
					peer-
		Paper	Paper	Paper	reviewed
		references	references	does not	sources
		the	the	include	
		textbook	textbook	reference	OR does
				to the text	not
		Paper is 8-	Paper is 6-		include
		10 pages	7 pages in	Paper is 6-	reference
		in length	length	7 pages in	to the text
				length	
					OR paper
					is less than
					6 pages in
					length
Comments:					

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_/55 Final Weighted Score: \_\_\_\_\_/100

# References

- Ashford, J., & LeCroy, C. (2023). *Human behavior in the social environment: A multidimensional perspective* (7<sup>th</sup> ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Bertolino, B. (2010). Strengths-based engagement and practice: Creating effective Helping relationships. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Cummings, S., & Galambos, C. (Eds.) (2004). *Diversity and aging in the social environment.*Binghamton, NY: Haworth Press.
- Dale, O., Smith, R., Norlin, J. M., & Chess, W. (2012). *Human behavior and the social*environment: Social systems theory (7<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Boston: Pearson/Allyn and Bacon.
- Eamon, M. K. (2001). The effects of poverty on children's socioemotional development: An ecological systems approach. *Social Work 46*, 256-266.
- Forte, J. (2007). *Human behavior and the social environment: Models, metaphors, and maps for applying theoretical perspectives to practice.* Belmont, CA: Thomson/Brooks/Cole.
- Hudson, C. (2010). *Complex systems and human behavior*. Chicago: Lyceum.
- Hutchison, E. (2010). *Dimensions of human behavior: The changing life course* (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Johnson, L. & Jenkins, D. (2004). Coming out in mid-adulthood: Building a new identity.

  Journal of gay and lesbian social services: Issues in practice, policy and research,

  16(2), 19-42.

- Kashdan, T. B., Pelham, W. E., Lang, A. R., Hoza, B., Jennings, J. R., & Blumenthal, J. D. (2002). Hope and optimism as human strengths in parents of children with externalizing disorders: Stress is in the eye of the beholder. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, *21*, 441-468.
- Kirst-Ashman, K., & Hull, G. (2019). *Human behavior in the macro social environment* (5<sup>th</sup> Ed.)

  Belmont, CA: Thomson/Brooks/Cole.
- Kolb, P. (2002). Development theories of aging: Gerontological theories of older adult development in developmental theories through the life cycle. In S., G., Austrian, *Development theories through the life cycle* (pp. 281-319). New York: Columbia University Press.
- Long, D., & Holle, M. (2007). *Macro systems in the social environment*. Belmont, CA:

  Thomson/Brooks/Cole.
- Mei-Po, K. (2000). Analysis of human spatial behavior in a GIS environment: Developments and future prospects. *Journal of Planning Literature*, *15*, 85-90.
- National Association of Social Workers. (2022). *Undoing racism through social work: A*collaborative vision for an Anti-Racist future. Retrieved from

  https://www.socialworkers.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=QsNmikJGKj0%3d&portalid=0
- Nes, J. A., & ladicola, P. (1989). Toward a definition of feminist social work: A comparison of liberal, radical, and socialist models. *Social Work, 34*, 12-21.
- Robbins, S., Chatterjee, P., & Canda, E. (2018). *Contemporary human behavior theory: A critical perspective for social work* (E4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

- Rogers, A. T. (2022). *Human behavior in the social environment* (6<sup>th</sup> Ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Strohman, R. (2003). Genetic, determinism as a failing paradigm in biology and Medicine:

  Implications for health and wellness. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *39*, 169-189.
- Thyer, B., Dulmus, C., & Sowers, K. (2012). *Human behavior in the social environment: Theories* for social work practice. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Trawick-smith, J. W. (2000). *Early childhood development: A multicultural perspective*.

  Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.
- Trickett, P. K. (1998). *Violence against children in the family and the community*.

  Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Twenge, J. (2006). *Generation me: Why today's young Americans are more confident, assertive,* and more miserable than ever before. New York: Free Press.
- Van Wormer, K. (2007). *Human behavior in the social environment: Micro level.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Van Wormer, K., Besthorn, F., & Keefe, T. (2007). *Human behavior in the social*environment: Macro level. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Woodhead, M. (1999). *Making sense of social development*. London, NY: Routledge in association with the Open University.
- Zastrow, C., & Kirst-Ashman, K. (2013). *Understanding human behavior in the social environment*(9<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Belmont, CA: Thomson/Brooks/Cole.